



Mr Benyam Dawit Mezmur Chairperson Committee on the Rights of the Child UNOG-OHCHR CH-1211 Geneva 10 (Switzerland)

14 April 2016

Dear Mr Mezmur

Submission of information: Nepal (72nd session)

We write with respect to the upcoming review of Nepal by the Committee on the Rights of the Child to be held at the Committee's 72nd Session (17 May 2016 - 3 June 2016).

Advocacy Forum-Nepal and REDRESS are non-governmental organisations focused on prevention of, and redress for, torture and other serious human rights violations. Further information about our organisations is provided in Annex One. Below we provide information on issues concerning child rights in Nepal arising in our work that we hope will be helpful to the Committee in Nepal's review under the Convention on the Rights of the Child.

The information below relates specifically to **Issues No. 7 and No. 18** in the Committee's List of Issues and covers:

- (i) results of Advocacy Forum's detention monitoring concerning children, including reported incidence of failure to uphold safeguards and commission of torture and other ill-treatment of children in detention;
- (ii) challenges encountered by Advocacy Forum lawyers in juvenile justice, including specifically relating to children being treated as adults pending trial; and
- (iii) ongoing impunity for extra-judicial killing, enforced disappearance and torture of children, both during Nepal's internal conflict (1996-2006) and since.

(i) Results of detention monitoring concerning children

Advocacy Forum-Nepal (AF) carries out a program of regular monitoring of police detention centres and Child Correction Homes in nine districts¹ of Nepal. AF has

¹ Kathmandu, Bhaktapur, Lalitpur, Rupandehi, Kaski, Morang, Dhanusha, Banke, Kanchanpur.

_

developed a detailed questionnaire to record important information on a person's detention, to support and defend the individual's cases as well as to challenge any illegal practices by the authorities. A copy of the questionnaire is provided at Annex Three.

Summary of Findings Concerning Children

An analysis of 12 months of data collected by AF lawyers from November 2014 to October 2015 shows regular non-implementation of procedural safeguards and a high prevalence of torture and other forms of ill-treatment against child detainees in police detention centers of Nepal.

During the reporting period AF lawyers visited and interviewed 345 child detainees (21 female and 324 male). Out of those interviewed:

- 21.7% complained that they had been subjected to torture or other illtreatment in police detention centers,
- 27.51% complained that they were not presented before the competent authority within 24 hours of their arrest,
- 19.7% complained of not having been providing with the reason for their arrest,
- 4.6% complained of not having been provided with a health checkup,
- 7.2% complained of not having been provided food to eat before their remand,
- 25.5% complained that they had not been given access to family members, and
- 75.3% complained that the competent authority had not asked about torture and ill-treatment during their case hearing.

(ii) Challenges encountered in juvenile justice

As at October 2015, AF provided legal aid to 40 child detainees. Out of the 40 child detainees, 24 children were released to parental custody. Two of these had initially been sent to prison but were released following the filing of writ petitions by AF lawyers to the Supreme Court. The remaining 16 children were transferred to Child Correction Homes with the support of AF Legal Aid. Out of the 40 child detainees, AF lawyers assisted in obtaining the birth certificate of 31 children. AF lawyers further assisted in the medical age verification of 9 children. AF Lawyers assisted the child detainees in gaining access to their parents by contacting and informing the parents about the situation of their children in detention centers. AF Lawyers provided legal counseling to all the child detainees and their parents.

AF has experienced difficulties in obtaining evidence on behalf of the children. On several occasions police have not been cooperative in releasing or accepting birth certificates or have not agreed to use medical age verification or birth certificates as evidence in court. The police are the first authority with the power to make an arrest

and file the case and in this process, the age of the minor is often increased by the police and the child is presented as an adult. This has in some cases been possible to overcome by close consultation meetings with the police, judges, public prosecutors to sensitize them about the importance of the age verification and the rights of the child detainees. AF lawyers have also presented a writ declaration for the Supreme Court which overturned two of the court orders to send the children to prison.

Case Study One in Confidential Annex Two provides an example of how non-adherence to procedural safeguards can result in the wrongful detention of children. This 14 year-old child was arrested on the charge of stealing a laptop from his school, and despite informing police of his age was remanded in custody on the basis that school records indicated his estimated age of 20. AF lawyers requested forensic verification of his age but this was not presented by police to the court, even after it had been received and showed that he was a minor. Once AF lawyers presented the evidence to the Court (one month after his arrest) he was released to parental custody on condition of payment of NRs. 40,000 bail (approximately USD 375). As his family could not afford this amount he was sent to a Child Reform Home. He remained in custody and was only released nearly four months after his initial arrest, following a challenge brought by AF lawyers to the Supreme Court.

In Case Study Two and Case Study Three in Confidential Annex Two, police and courts refused to accept birth certificates in relation to children aged 14 and 13 respectively provided by the child's guardians and they were remanded in custody as adults. The child in Case Study Two was only released 93 days after arrest following the obtaining of forensic age verification and further argument in court before the Juvenile Justice Bench. The child in Case Study Three was only released after approximately three months following the filing of a writ of habeas corpus by AF lawyers before the court.

Other case studies included in Confidential Annex Two provide further examples of detention of minors as adults prior to intervention by AF lawyers.

In addition, some minors are internally displaced and some come from India looking for jobs thus it is difficult to trace their families and the legal documents required. In some cases the police do not inform the family members of the detention of the minors, which makes it even more difficult for the AF lawyers to trace the family to get the legal documents like birth certificates or school certificates to verify their age. Other children, such as **Case Study Five** in Confidential Annex Two, do not have guardians, and without appropriate state-appointed guardians AF lawyers have had to take responsibility for the children following release pending trial.

(iii) Ongoing impunity for extra-judicial killing, enforced disappearance and torture of children

Finally, Advocacy Forum and REDRESS have been involved in representing child victims and their families of extrajudicial execution, enforced disappearances and torture and other ill-treatment committed both during the country's internal conflict (1996-2006) and since. Although there have been some recent positive

developments within the courts, impunity for such crimes remains total. Transitional justice processes recently established to address conflict-era cases have been strongly criticized by victims' groups, domestic non-governmental organisations and international actors including UN experts for their failure to uphold victims' rights and potential to promote impunity.² In addition, international crimes including torture and enforced disappearance are yet to be criminalized in Nepal, meaning that it is impossible to bring criminal proceedings against those responsible for committing such crimes during the conflict and since. Where any action is taken it is limited to disciplinary proceedings and the payments of small amounts of compensation.

Five emblematic cases we wish to draw the Committee's attention to are:

- Maina Sunawar: a 15 year-old girl tortured and killed in Army detention in 2004. Following international pressure a court martial found that she died in state custody, convicted three soldiers of procedural offences and sentenced them to six months' imprisonment, temporary suspensions of promotions and a small monetary fine as compensation to Maina's family. The army has refused to cooperate with police investigations into the murder, resulting in suspension of prosecution proceedings. In January 2016, following an application by AF lawyers, Kavre District Court ordered the prosecution to continue in the absence of the accused. However no person has yet been presented for trial and to date Maina's family has not been informed of further progress in the investigation and prosecution.
- Reena Rasaili: a 16 year-old schoolgirl killed by soldiers in February 2004. In
 the middle of the night soldiers dragged Reena from her house, interrogated,
 raped and tortured her before shooting her at point blank range. Despite a
 court martial finding soldiers guilty of her unlawful killing, no person has
 successfully been brought to justice, although one deserter was tried and
 acquitted. Reena's parents, supported by Advocacy Forum Nepal and
 REDRESS, filed an individual complaint to the UN Human Rights Committee in
 December 2015, after failing over many years to achieve justice in
 Nepal. Proceedings before the Committee are ongoing.
- Subhadra Chaulagain: On the same night that Reena Rasaili was killed,
 Subhadra Chaulagain, a 17 year-old schoolgirl in the same village, was

http://www.redress.org/downloads/publications/1407TRC_Act_UN_Submission_AF_TRIAL_REDRESS%28June20 14%29.pdf.

² For views of other UN bodies see, eg. OHCHR, 'OHCHR Technical Note: The Nepal Act on the Commission on Investigation of Disappeared Persons, Truth and Reconciliation, 2071 (2014) – as Gazetted 21 May 2014'; Pablo de Greiff, Special Rapporteur on the promotion of truth, justice, reparation and guarantees of non-recurrence; Ariel Dulitzky, Chair-Rapporteur, Working Group on Enforced or Involuntary Disappearances; Christof Heyns, Special Rapporteur on extrajudicial, summary or arbitrary executions; Rashida Manjoo, Special Rapporteur on violence against women, its causes and consequences; Juan E. Méndez, Special Rapporteur on torture and other cruel, inhuman or degrading treatment or punishment, (2014), 'Nepal: Truth-seeking legislation risks further entrenching impunity, alert UN rights experts', 4 July 2014. See further Advocacy Forum, REDRESS and TRIAL (2014), 'Paying Lip Service to Justice: The Newly Adopted TRC Act Breaches International Law and Flouts the Decision of the Supreme Court of Nepal', June 2014,

³ For further details of the case see Advocacy Forum (2010), *Maina Sunuwar: Separating Fact from Fiction*, http://advocacyforum.org/downloads/pdf/publications/maina-english.pdf.

dragged from her house in the middle of the night, questioned outside over a period of several hours, and finally shot in the head and stomach at point blank range and in front of her father. The soldiers kicked her body and then proceeded to brutally beat her father, leaving him for dead. No person has been prosecuted and her family has only received a small payment of what is termed "interim relief" payable to all conflict victims. Following a failure to achieve justice in Nepal, her family filed a complaint to the UN Human Rights Committee, which found in October 2014 that Nepal was responsible for violations of Subhadra's right to life, right to be free from torture and other cruel, inhuman and degrading treatment, right to liberty and right to humane conditions of detention, all read in conjunction with her right to a remedy.⁵ The Committee urged Nepal to undertake an effective and complete investigation of the facts, the prosecution and punishment of those responsible, and the provision of full reparation to Subhadra's family. However, to date, no action has been taken to implement the Committee's views beyond translation of those views into Nepali.⁶

Manau disappearances: On 11 April 2002 Dhaniram Tharu (aged 17 or 18), Soniram Tharu (aged 16 or 17), Radhulal Tharu (aged 19), Prem Prakash Tharu (aged 23), Kamala Tharu (aged between 16 and 18), Mohan Tharu (aged 18), Lauti Tharu (aged between 17 and 20) and Chillu Tharu (aged 16) were taken by soldiers from their homes in Nauranga Village. They were all members of the Tharu indigenous community. Their families have never seen them again or received any credible information from the authorities about what happened to them. The families of the disappeared filed cases in the Supreme Court in 2003 seeking release of the young people. All state authorities denied detaining them, and the Supreme Court closed the cases. In 2006, the army informed the Neupane Committee (created by the Government of Nepal to investigate the fate of allegedly disappeared persons) that seven of the young people were killed in crossfire during an encounter with Maoists. The families have refuted the army's allegations, because the victims left their houses unarmed and guarded by armed soldiers.

Families of the victims filed a case with the Human Rights Committee, represented by AF and REDRESS. The Human Rights Committee decided the case in July 2015, finding that the eight young people were victims of enforced disappearance, resulting in violations of their rights to life, to be free from torture, to liberty and to personality before the law. It found further that the anguish and stress caused to the families of the victims amounted to a serious violation of their human rights. Since the handing down of the decision the only action taken by the government is translation

⁴ For further details of the case see: http://www.redress.org/case-docket/chaulagain-v-nepal?utm_source=smartmail&utm_medium=email&utm_campaign=REDRESS+Reparation+News+Dec%2FJan+2
<a href="http://www.redress.org/case-docket/chaulagain-v-nepal?utm_source=smartmail&utm_medium=email&utm_campaign=REDRESS+Reparation+News+Dec%2FJan+2
http://www.redress.org/case-docket/chaulagain-v-nepal?utm_source=smartmail&utm_medium=email&utm_campaign=REDRESS+Reparation+News+Dec%2FJan+2">http://www.redress.org/case-docket/chaulagain-v-nepal?utm_source=smartmail&utm_medium=email&utm_campaign=REDRESS+Reparation+News+Dec%2FJan+2">http://www.redress.org/case-docket/chaulagain-v-nepal?utm_source=smartmail&utm_campaign=REDRESS+Reparation+News+Dec%2FJan+2">http://www.redress.org/case-docket/chaulagain-v-nepal?utm_source=smartmail&utm_campaign=REDRESS+Reparation+News+Dec%2FJan+2">http://www.redress.org/case-docket/chaulagain-v-nepal?utm_source=smartmail&utm_campaign=REDRESS+Reparation+News+Dec%2FJan+2">http://www.redress.org/case-docket/chaulagain-v-nepal?utm_source=smartmail&utm_campaign=REDRESS+Reparation+News+Dec%2FJan+2">http://www.redress.org/case-docket/chaulagain-v-nepal?utm_source=smartmail&utm_campaign=REDRESS+Reparation+News+Dec%2FJan+2">http://www.redress.org/case-docket/chaulagain-v-nepal?utm_source=smartmail&utm_campaign=REDRESS+Reparation+News+Dec%2FJan+2">http://www.redress.org/case-docket

UN Human Rights Committee, *Chaulagain v Nepal*, CCPR/C/112/D/2018/2010, 28 October 2014.

⁶ On implementation of the Committee's views see further http://realrightsnow.org/en/subhadra-chaulagain/.

⁷ UN Human Rights Committee, Tharu et al v Nepal, CCPR/C/114/D/2038/2011, 3 July 2015.

of the views into Nepali and a promise to provide the families with an additional small payment of "interim relief". 8

• Padam Bahadur Khadka: a 14 year-old boy arrested with six other children in October 2011 by soldiers on the suspicion of killing a spotted deer. Padam and the other children were taken to the army barracks where they were threatened and verbally abused, before being beaten with sticks, punched with fists, held for lengthy periods of time in stress positions, and forced to stand upside down on their hands against a tree. Shortly after the arrest, AF brought a complaint under the Torture Compensation Act on Padam's behalf, and finally in March 2016 the court found two named soldiers responsible for torture. It ordered the government to provide NPR 50,000 (USD 470) as compensation to Padam, and ordered the perpetrators to personally pay NPR 2,000 (USD 18) to the victim. The Court also ordered the Government of Nepal to take departmental action against the perpetrators. However, given that torture is not criminalised under Nepali law there is no opportunity to bring criminal proceedings against the perpetrators.

We hope this information is helpful for the Committee in its review of Nepal's record under the Convention. Please do not hesitate to contact us if you require any further information.

Yours sincerely

Orh Prakash Sen Thakuri

Acting Director, Advocacy Forum Nepal

Carla Ferstman
Director, REDRESS

Enc:

Annex One: Information about the organisations

Confidential Annex Two: Case studies from detention monitoring (not for

publication)

Annex Three: Format of Detention Monitoring Questionnaire

⁸ For further information on implementation see: http://realrightsnow.org/en/manau-disappearances/.

ANNEX ONE: INFORMATION ABOUT THE ORGANISATIONS

Advocacy Forum - Nepal (AF) is a leading non-profit, non-governmental organisation working to promote the rule of law and uphold international human rights standards. Since its establishment, AF has been actively confronting the culture of impunity by systematically documenting human rights abuses, monitoring government detention facilities, and litigation both nationally and internationally. The information is published and presented to national and international audiences and provides necessary evidence for the reform of the justice system. It regularly engages internationally recognized human rights mechanisms, including United Nations treaty bodies and special procedures, and work closely with international human rights organisations, such as Amnesty International, Human Rights Watch, and Asian Federation against Involuntary Disappearance, the International Commission of Jurists and the Asian Human Rights Commission. With the help of all these mechanisms and agencies, AF attempts to put Nepal at the forefront for significant human rights attention worldwide. AF's work on juvenile justice and redress for torture and other ill-treatment is funded in part by DKA Austria, the European Union's European Instrument for Democracy and Human Rights (EIDHR) and Pro Victimis Foundation.

http://www.advocacyforum.org

REDRESS is an international human rights NGO based in the United Kingdom with a mandate to assist torture survivors to seek justice and other forms of reparation, hold accountable the governments and individuals who perpetrate torture, and develop the means of ensuring compliance with international standards and securing remedies for victims. REDRESS carries out its mandate through casework on behalf of individuals and groups before national and international courts and bodies, advocacy with governments, parliaments, international organisations and the media and by working in partnership with like-minded organisations around the world to strengthen national legal systems. REDRESS has consultative status with ECOSOC. REDRESS' work in Nepal is funded by the European Union's European Instrument for Democracy and Human Rights (EIDHR) and Pro Victimis Foundation.

www.redress.org

ANNEX THREE: FORMAT OF DETAINEE QUESTIONNAIRE

Questionnaire to Detainees

ADVOCACY FORUM – NEPAL

Detainee Interview Form (For the detainee in process investigation)

Case No.	
Charge	

A. Visit information	
Date of visit	
Time of visit	
Name of AF lawyer	
Name of detention	

1. Personal information					
1.1 Name (s)					
1.2 Gender	1. Female (complet	te information in section 11) 2. Male	e 3. Other		
1.3 Age					
1.4 Date of Birth	BS:	AD:			
1.5 Minor	1. Yes (complete information in section 9) 2. No				
1.6 Permanent Address	Village/Tole:	VDC/Municipality:	Ward No:		
	District:				
 Temporary Address 	Village:				
(if different from above)					
	District:	VDC:	Ward No:		
1.8 Telephone no.					
1.9 Education					
1.10 Occupation					
1.11 Nearest relative/Friend					

1.12 Contact No.		
2. Arrest Information		
2.1 Time		
2.2 Date	BS: AD:	
2.3 Place	Village/Tole: VDC/Municipality: Ward No: District:	
2.4 Witness(es)	1. Yes 2. No	
2.5. If yes - who?	1. 2.	
2.6 Initial arresting authority	1. Nepal Police 2. Nepal Army 3. Armed Police Force 4. Forestry Office 5. Unidentified group 6. Other	
2.7. Main arresting authority (After handing over by the initial arrest authority) 2.8 Number(s) of initial arrest authority 2.9 Name(s)	4. Forrest Office 5. Unidentified group 6. Other	
Rank(s) if known Gender (M/F/O)		
2.10. Uniformed/Weapons	1. Yes 2. No 3. Both	
2.11. Reason for arrest given (Arrest warrant)	1. Yes 2. No 3. Given but after bringing in detention (specify date)	
2.12. Family informed of arrest	1. Yes 2. No 3. Given but after bringing in detention (specify date)	
2.13 Behaviour of arresting authority	Normal 2. Verbal abuse 3. Physical Violation (Complete Section 10 if with verbal abuse there is torture or other cruel, inhuman and degrading behaviour).	
2.14. Mode of transportation to detention facility		
3. Basic detention information		
3.1 When the detainee is brought to this detention	Date	
3.2 Previous detention place(s) if any		
3.3 Is he/she kept in detention before	1. Yes (Give full detail) 2. No	
3.3.1 Place 1	Name: Date in: Date out:	
3.3.2 Place 2	Name: Date in: Date out:	

3.3.3 Place 3	Name:	Date in:	Date out:	
3.4. Did you have health check-up				
before keeping in detention?				
3.4.1. In which hospital taken?	1. Government	2. Personal		
	Name:			
3.4.2. Who paid the money in the hospital?	1. Police 2. De	tainee 3. Free	4. Any other	
3.5. Were you brought before a judge/competent authority within 24 hours of detention?		2. No		
3.6. Were you given detainee letter?	1. Yes (provide detail	ls below)	2. No	
	Given before remand	<u> </u>	Given after remand	
4. Conditions of detention:				
4.1. Is food/money paid to you for having food?			2. No	
	Given before remand		Given after remand	
4.2. How is the facility of drinking water?			ot Good (Give complete detail)	
4.3. How is the toilet facility?	1. Good		ot Good (Give complete detail)	
4.4 How is the bathing facility?	1. Good	2. N	ot Good (Give complete detail)	
4.5 How is the sleeping facility?	Adequate space to sl How many are there	eep 1. Yes in the room you are in?	2. No	
	Bed sheet and blanke	et 1. Yes	2. No	
	Other information			
4.6 Information about other detainees.	Women:	1. Yes (how many)	2. No	
	Children:	1. Yes (how many)	2. No	
	Name:			
	How many mentally	and physically ill?		
	1. Yes (how many)	2. No		
	Name:			
	Foreigners:	1. Yes (how many)	2. No	
	Name:			

4.6.1 Are there any detainees that	Yes: (Full Name)	1. 2. No	
need immediate medical attention?	, ,	-	
		2.	
		3.	
4.6.2. Are there any other detainees	Yes: (Full Name)	1. 2. No	
who are kept with you for the same		2	
charge?		2.	
		3.	
4.7.Behaviour of detaining authority	1. Cooperative	2.Verbal abuse	
	2. Physical Viol	ation el, inhuman and degrading behaviour)	
4.8. Other comments on place of	(Complete informatio	on in Section 10)	
detention			
5. Family contact information			
5.1 Contact with family members	1. Yes 2. No		
	Before remand	After remand	
5.2.Reason for no family contact	1. Did not want to inf 2. Family not granted	orm family I permission to visit by the detention authorities	
	3. Family did not visit	despite having been informed	
	4. Family are unaware	e of arrest/detention	
	5. Other (specify)		
6. Access to legal assistance			
6.1 Are you aware that every person		2. No	
has the right to have legal counselling right from the time of arrest?			
6.2 Have you consulted a lawyer	1. Yes		
following arrest?			
	2. No		
6.2.1 When was lawyer consulted?	Date:	Number of days after arrest:	
	Date:		
	Date:		
6.2.2 Why was lawyer not consulted?	1. Financial constrain	ts	
	2. Did not know how		
	3. Prevented by detai		
	4. Did not think it was		
, ,	1. Yes	2. No	
6.4. Do you require free legal aid from AF?	1. res (complete infol	rmation in Section 12) 2. No	
<u> </u>	1		

7. Investigation information					
7.1. Are you aware that according to	1. Yes		2. No		
the law, a person is not liable to					
provide a statement against					
herself/himself?					
7.2 Have you been subject to interrogation?	1. Yes		2. No		
7.2.1. Place of interrogation	1. Public r	prosecutor's office	2. Polic	e station	
	1				
	3. Both		4. Othe	er (specify)	
7.3 Signed statement	1. Yes		2. No		
7.3.1. Where was statement signed?	1. Public լ	prosecutor's office	2. Poli	ce station	
	3. Other (specify)			
7.3.2 When was statement signed?	Date:				
	Before re	mand:			
	After rem	and:			
7.3.3 Did you sign the statement of your own volition?	1. Yes		2. No (p	provide details below)	
7.3.4 Were you able to read the	1. Yes		2. No (provide details below)	
statement before signing? Was the statement a confession?	1. Yes (Camandata infammatian	:fi	n below) 2. No	
was the statement a comession:	1. 165 (Complete information	in comessions sectio	n below) 2. No	
7.4 Have you been brought to the	1. Yes (pr	ovide details below)	2. No		
public prosecutor's office?	Date:				
	Reason:				
7.5. Have very been brought before	4 1/ /		2.11		
7.5 Have you been brought before a court/other judicial authority for		ovide details below)	2. No		
remand?	First	Date:	Day ()time	
	Second	Date:	Day ()time	
	Third	Date:	Day ()time	
	Court/jud	licial authority:			
7.6 If brought before court/other	_		2. No		
judicial authority for remand did		ovide details below)	2. 110		
judge/judicial officer ask whether					
T/CIDT had occurred?					
7.7 Do you know that you can request		ovide details below)	2. No		
a medical (physical and mental) check- up if brought before a court for		up requested: given			
extension of remand?		, ,			
	2. Check-	up requested: denied	(details below)		
	3. Not ask	ked			
7.8. If your request was granted, have	1. Yes		2. No		
you been taken for the check-up?					
7.8.1 Were you satisfied with the			2. No		
medical check-up (was treatment provided if necessary)?					
provided if ficee33df y):	<u> </u>				

7.9 Previous arrest?	1. Yes (provide details below)	2. No	
	Charge:		
	Released on what grounds? (provide details be	elow)	
8. Case summary			

Minor information (complete if answer is yes to 1.5) Not completed 16 years of age			
9.1 Is your age verified	1. Yes		
	2. No		
	What are the evidence of age proof?		
	VDC birth certificate		
	Hospital Certificate		
	-		
	3. School Certificate		
9.2 Enrolled in school at time of arrest	1. Yes (provide details in 10.3)	2. No	
9.3 School details	Name:	Class:	
	Address:		
	Address.		
9.4 Handcuffed at time of arrest?	1. Yes	2. No	
9.4.1. Handcuffed while being taken to	1. Yes	2. No	
court or other external location			
9.5 Were guards/police uniformed whilst escorting you to court or other	1. Uniform	2. Plain clothes	
external location?			
9.6 Co-detainee information	1. Below 16 years age minor		
	1. Yes (if yes, how many)	2. No	
	2. Over 16 years		
	1. Yes (if yes, how many)	2. No	
9.7 Was interrogation following arrest child-friendly?	1. Yes	2. No (provide details below)	
9.8 Has interrogation whilst in detention taken place at night?	1. Yes (provide details below)	2. No	

9.9 Did you were taken to separate		
room and had interrogation for more		
than one hour in one time?		
9.10 When brought before court for	1. Yes (provide details below) 2. No	
remand, was there a juvenile bench		
(social worker, child psyc. or		
specialist)?		
9.11. Were you kept with child	1. Yes 2. No	
detainees in the detention or		
not?		

Tortu	re and CIDT informa	tion (only complete	if yes to 2.14 or 4.8	– can be undertaker	on a second visit if ne	ec.)
10.1 T	orture and CIDT det	ails				
No.	Location	Date	Time and Duration (min/hr)	Description (where on body; with what; method used)		Officer giving command?
1				,		
2						
3						
4						
5						
6						

10.2 Perpetrator details	1. Name:	Rank:	Appearance - (Physical	identity)
	2 Names	Dank	Amazarana (Dhusias) i	al a
	2 Name:	Rank:	Appearance - (Physical i	dentity)
	3. Name:	Rank:	Appearance - (Physical	identity)
	4. Name:	Rank:	Appearance - (Physical	identity)
10.3 Did the police give	1. Yes	2. No		
reasons for torturing you?				
10.4 Were there any Witness(es		2. No		
present at the time of inflicting				

torture?					
torture.					
10.4.1 Witness name and contact	1 Name:				
details					
	Address:				
	Telephone no.:				
	co-detainee	public	police	other (detail)	
	2. Name:				
	Address:				
	Telephone no.:				
	co-detainee	public	police	other (detail)	
10.5 Physical or psychologica					
effects of T/CIDT (as assessed by AF lawyer)					
AF lawyei j					
10.6 Physical or psychologica					
effects of T/CIDT (as assessed by detainee following T/CDT)					
detainee following 1/651/					
10.7 Medical assistance following	1. Yes				
T/CIDT	2. No				
10.7.1 Treatment received	Details:				
	Documentation:		1. Yes (specify)	2. No	
10.70 D	a Distribution (maio	عداد	··	2.2. (112-1)	
10.7.2 Provided by	1. Detention/prise	on medical on	ficer	2. Doctor (hospital)	
	3. Other (specify)				
10.7.3 Who paid the money?	1. Detaining auth	orities	2. Self		
	2 Family		4 Other detail		
10.7.4 Reason(s) why medica	3. Family 4. Other detainees 0.7.4 Reason(s) why medical 1. Fear of reprisal			nees	
assistance not sought	2. Assumed the police would not provide assistance				
	Sought by detainee, but denied by detaining authorities				
4. Sought by detainee, but has not yet been provided by detaining authorities					
			ded by detailing additionities		
	(delayed)				

	5. Other (specify)	
	6. No money available for medical treatment	
10.8 Torture and/or CIDT further details		

11. Female detainee inform	ation
11.1. Were you arrested by	1. Yes 2. No
female police/security	
force personnel?	
11.2. Are there female	1. Yes 2. No
guards in place of	
detention?	
11.3. Gender of officials	1. Male 2. Female
who escort you to the	
court and other external	3. Both
places?	
11.4 Necessary support	1. Yes 2. No
(sanitary towels/extra	
clothing/medicine)	
provided by det.	
authorities during	
menstruation/pregnancy	
	1. Assumed the detaining authorities would not provide assistance
	2. Sought by detainee, but denied by detaining authorities
been provided with the	3. Sought by detainee, but has not yet been provided by detaining authorities (delayed)
	4. Other (specify below)
	5. Not felt necessary
	6. Had no money for those things
11.5 Male co-detainees in	1. Yes (Number) 2. No
same cell/room?	

What is was the overall of the provided to the	Contession intormation Lon	ly complete it; wes to related question above	ve – can be มูกdertaken on any visit if nec.)
Identification 1	Pid yourgive; a ganfession;?e	1. Yes	2. No
Activation Act	aisther hanfessign be taken	1. Yes	2. No
When only the confession I was a confession of the confession of the confession of the confession I was a confession of the confession of the confession I was a confession of the confession of the confession I was a confession of the confession I was a confession of the confession	Id:UUIQIALV!		
When only the confession I was a confession of the confession of the confession of the confession I was a confession of the confession of the confession I was a confession of the confession of the confession I was a confession of the confession I was a confession of the confession	What was the overall	<u> </u>	esulted in conviction;
lighedprosecution of the lefendant? Before remaind: Before remaind: Did you sign the confession 1. Yes Or your own volition? After remaind: Did you sign the confession 1. Yes 2. No (provide details below) Or your own volition? Pere you able to read the 1. Yes Confession before signing? Nhy did you give the 1. torture/ill-treatment; I confession? 2. threat of torture/ill-treatment; 3. inducement by police; 4. advice of lawyer; 5. feeling of guilt; 6. other Confession-related questions to be answered by interviewer at end of investigation Nas confession used by 1 Yes (provide details) Olice or judicial investigator? Nas confession 1 Yes (provide details) Olice or judicial investigator? What other evidence was 1 Yes (provide details) Olice or judicial investigator? What other evidence was 1 Yes (provide details) Olice or judicial investigator? What other evidence was 1 Yes (provide details) Olice or judicial investigator? What other evidence was 1 Yes (provide details) Olice or judicial investigator? What other evidence was 1 Yes (provide details) Olice or judicial investigator? What other evidence was 1 Yes (provide details) Olice or judicial investigator? What other evidence was 1 Yes (provide details) Olice or judicial investigator? What other evidence was 1 Yes (provide details) Olice or judicial investigator? Nas authority alerted to 1 Yes (provide details) Olice or judicial investigator? On details below) Olice or judicial investigator On details below) On details below in de	impact of the contession in	Date: some impact not only pros	
After remand: Did you sign the confession 1. Yes Did you sign the confession 1. Yes 1. No (provide details below) After you able to read the 1. Yes 2. No (provide details below) Portion of the 2. No (provide details below) Did you give the 1. torture/ill-treatment; Onfession? 2. threat of torture/ill-treatment; 3. inducement by police; 4. advice of lawyer; 5. feeling of guilt; 6. other Confession-related questions to be answered by interviewer at end of investigation Nas confession used by 1 Yes (provide details) 2 No Diction or judicial investigator? Nas confession 1 Yes (provide details) 2 No Diction or judicial investigator? Nas confession 1 Yes (provide details) 2 No Diction or judicial investigator? Nas confession 1 Yes (provide details) 2 No Diction of the vidence was confession 1 Yes (provide details) 2 No Diction of the vidence was confession 1 Yes (provide details) 2 No Diction of the vidence was confession was obtained awfully? Confession related questions to be answered by interviewer during trial Nas the confession was obtained with life to the judge alerted to 1 Yes (provide details) 2 No Diction of the provide details of the judge alerted to 1 Yes (provide details) 2 No Diction of the provide details of the judge alerted to 1 Yes (provide details) 2 No Diction of the provide details of the judge alerted to 1 Yes (provide details) 2 No Diction of the provide details of the judge alerted to 1 Yes (provide details) 2 No Diction of the provide details of the provi	La		·
Did you sign the confession I. Yes 2. No (provide details below) If your own volition? Where you able to read the I. Yes 2. No (provide details below) Abores you able to read the I. Yes 2. No (provide details below) Confession before signing? Why did you give the I. torture/ill-treatment; 3. inducement by police; 4. advice of lawyer; 5. feeling of guilt; 6. other Confession-related questions to be answered by interviewer at end of investigation Was confession used by 1 Yes (provide details) 2 No confession to be answered by interviewer at end of investigation or judicial notestigator? Was confession 1 Yes (provide details) 2 No confession to the revidence was 1 Yes (provide details) 2 No confession and the revidence was 1 Yes (provide details) 2 No confession was obtained awfully? Confession-related questions to be answered by interviewer during trial Was the confession 1 Yes (provide details) 2 No confession was obtained awfully? Confession-related questions to be answered by interviewer during trial Was the confession tested 1 Yes (provide details) 2 No confession was obtained awfully? Confession-related questions to be answered by interviewer during trial Was the confession tested 1 Yes (provide details) 2 No confession was obtained awfully? Was the confession tested 1 Yes (provide details) 2 No confession with a confession to the confession tested 1 Yes (provide details) 2 No confession with a confession tested 1 Yes (provide details) 2 No confession tested 1 Yes (provide details) 2 No confession with a confession tested 1 Yes (provide details) 2 No confession tested 1 Yes (provide details) 2 No confession tested 2 No confession evidence 2 No confession evidence? Was other prosecution 1 Yes (provide details) 2 No confession evidence?	defendant?	4. no impact, excluded	
of your own volition? Were you able to read the 1. Yes 2. No (provide details below) Norein you able to read the 1. Yes 2. No (provide details below) Norein you able to read the 1. Yes 2. No (provide details below) Norein you able to read the 1. Yes 2. No (provide details below) Norein you able to read the 1. Yes (provide of lawyer; 5. feeling of guilt; 6. other Confession-related questions to be answered by interviewer at end of investigation Nas confession used by 1. Yes (provide details) 2. No provide details 2. No provide details 3. Yes (provide details) 3. No provide details 4. Yes (provide details) 4. Yes (provide details) 4. Yes (provide details) 5. Yes (provide details) 5. Yes (provide details) 6. Yes (provide details) 6. Yes (provide details) 6. Yes (provide details) 6. Yes (provide details) 7. Yes (provide details) 7. Yes (provide details) 7. Yes (provide details) 7. Yes (provide details) 8. Yes (provide details) 9. Yes (provide details) 9		After remand:	
of your own volition? Were you able to read the 1. Yes 2. No (provide details below) Norein you able to read the 1. Yes 2. No (provide details below) Norein you able to read the 1. Yes 2. No (provide details below) Norein you able to read the 1. Yes 2. No (provide details below) Norein you able to read the 1. Yes (provide of lawyer; 5. feeling of guilt; 6. other Confession-related questions to be answered by interviewer at end of investigation Nas confession used by 1. Yes (provide details) 2. No provide details 2. No provide details 3. Yes (provide details) 3. No provide details 4. Yes (provide details) 4. Yes (provide details) 4. Yes (provide details) 5. Yes (provide details) 5. Yes (provide details) 6. Yes (provide details) 6. Yes (provide details) 6. Yes (provide details) 6. Yes (provide details) 7. Yes (provide details) 7. Yes (provide details) 7. Yes (provide details) 7. Yes (provide details) 8. Yes (provide details) 9. Yes (provide details) 9	Did you sign the confession	1. Yes	2. No (provide details below)
Any did you give the 1. torture/ill-treatment; 2. threat of torture/ill-treatment; 3. inducement by police; 4. advice of lawyer; 5. feeling of guilt; 6. other Confession-related questions to be answered by interviewer at end of investigation Nas confession used by 1 Yes (provide details) Nas confession 1 Yes (provide details) Nas confession 1 Yes (provide details) Nas confession 2 Yes (provide details) Nas confession 2 Yes (provide details) Nas confession 2 Yes (provide details) Nas authority alerted to 1 Yes (provide details) Nas authority alerted questions to be answered by interviewer during trial Nas the confession 1 Yes (provide details) Nas the confession 1 Yes (provide details) Nas the confession 1 Yes (provide details) Nas the pudge alerted to 1 Yes (provide details) Nas the confession 1 Yes (provide details) Nas other prosecution 1 Yes (provide details) Nas other prosecution 2 Yes (provide details) Nas other prosecution 3 Yes (provide details) Nas other prosecution 2 Yes (provide details) Nas other prosecution 3 Yes (provide details) Nas other prosecution 3 Yes (provide details) Nas other prosecution 4 Yes (provide details) Nas other prosecution 3 Yes (provide details) Nas other prosecution 4 Yes (provide details) Nas other prosecution 4 Yes (provide details) Nas other prosecution 4 Yes (provide details) Nas other prosecution 5 Yes (provide details)	of your own volition?		,
Mhy did you give the 1. torture/ill-treatment; confession? 2. threat of torture/ill-treatment; 3. inducement by police; 4. advice of lawyer; 5. feeling of guilt; 6. other Confession-related questions to be answered by interviewer at end of investigation Was confession used by 1 Yes (provide details) Nas confession 1 Yes (provide details) Nas confession 1 Yes (provide details) Nas confession 1 Yes (provide details) Nas authority alerted to 1 Yes (provide details) Nas authority alerted to 1 Yes (provide details) Nas authority alerted to 2 Yes (provide details) Nas authority alerted questions to be answered by interviewer during trial Nas the confession 1 Yes (provide details) Nas the pudge alerted to 1 Yes (provide details) Nas the pudge alerted to 1 Yes (provide details) Nas the pudge alerted to 1 Yes (provide details) Nas the pudge alerted to 1 Yes (provide details) Nas the pudge alerted to 1 Yes (provide details) Nas the pudge alerted to 1 Yes (provide details) Nas the pudge alerted to 1 Yes (provide details) Nas the pudge alerted to 1 Yes (provide details) Nas the pudge alerted to 1 Yes (provide details) Nas other prosecution 1 Yes 2 No Nas other prosecution 1 Yes 2 No Nas any other prosecution 1 Yes (provide details) Nas other prosecution 2 Yes (provide details) Nas other prosecution 3 Yes (provide details) Nas other prosecution 4 Yes (provide details) Nas other prosecution 5 Yes (provide details) Nas other prosecution 6 Yes (provide details) Nas other prosecution 1 Yes (provide details) Nas other prosecution 1 Yes (provide details) Nas other prosecution 2 Yes (provide details)	Were you able to read the	1. Yes	2. No (provide details below)
2. threat of torture/ill-treatment; 3. inducement by police; 4. advice of lawyer; 5. feeling of guilt; 6. other Confession-related questions to be answered by interviewer at end of investigation Was confession used by oblice or judicial investigator? Was confession by other evidence was confession by other evidence? What other evidence was confession at Yes (provide details) 1 Yes (provide details) 2 No confession used against leating the provide details) 2 No confession used against leating the provide details are sufficiently as the confession of the provide details are confession was obtained wifeling. Was the confession 1 Yes (provide details) 2 No confession-related questions to be answered by interviewer during trial was the confession 1 Yes (provide details) 2 No confession-related questions to be answered by interviewer during trial was the confession by police or other actors? Was the prosecution 1 Yes (provide details) 2 No confession-related during he trial? Was other prosecution 1 Yes (provide details) 2 No confession-related during he trial? Was any other prosecution 1 Yes (provide details) 2 No confession-related during he trial? Was any other prosecution 1 Yes (provide details) 2 No confession-related during he trial? Was any other prosecution 1 Yes (provide details) 2 No confession-related questions to be answered by interviewer at end of trial Was confession evidence? 2 No confession evidence?	confession before signing?		
As a confession used by 1 Yes (provide details) Confession-related was 1 Yes (provide details) Corression used by 0 other Corression used against detainee? Was authority alerted to 1 Yes (provide details) Corression was obtained awfully? Corression-related questions to be answered by interviewer during trial Was the confession 1 Yes (provide details) Corression-related questions to be answered by interviewer during trial Was the confession by police or other actors? Was the confession 1 Yes (provide details) Corression-related to 1 Yes (provide details) Corression-related to 1 Yes (provide details) Corression used against 1 Yes (provide details) Corression-related to 1 Yes (provide details) Corression-related to 1 Yes (provide details) Corression-related questions to be answered by interviewer during trial Corression-related questions to be answered by interviewer during trial Corression-related questions to be answered by interviewer during trial Corression-related questions to be answered by interviewer during trial Corression-related questions to be answered by interviewer during trial Corression-related questions to be answered by interviewer during trial Corression-related questions to be answered by interviewer at end of trial Corression-related questions to be answered by interviewer at end of trial		 torture/ill-treatment; 	
4. advice of lawyer; 5. feeling of guilt; 6. other Confession-related questions to be answered by interviewer at end of investigation Was confession used by 1 Yes (provide details) Nas confession or judicial investigator? Nas confession or judicial investigator or judicial investigator? Nas confession or judicial investigator or	confession?	2. threat of torture/ill-treatme	ent;
5. feeling of guilit; 6. other Confession related questions to be answered by interviewer at end of investigation Nas confession used by 1 Yes (provide details) Nas confession 1 Yes (provide details) Nas authority alerted to 1 Yes (provide details) Nas the confession vas obtained awfully? Nas the confession 1 Yes Nas the confession 1 Yes Nas the confession 1 Yes (provide details) Nas the judge alerted to 1 Yes (provide details) Nas the judge alerted to 1 Yes (provide details) Nas the confession tested 1 Yes (provide details) Nas the prosecution 1 Yes (provide details) Nas other prosecution 1 Yes (provide details) Nas other prosecution 1 Yes (provide details) Nas any other prosecution 1 Yes (provide details)		3. inducement by police;	
5. feeling of guilit; 6. other Confession related questions to be answered by interviewer at end of investigation Nas confession used by 1 Yes (provide details) Nas confession 1 Yes (provide details) Nas authority alerted to 1 Yes (provide details) Nas the confession vas obtained awfully? Nas the confession 1 Yes Nas the confession 1 Yes Nas the confession 1 Yes (provide details) Nas the judge alerted to 1 Yes (provide details) Nas the judge alerted to 1 Yes (provide details) Nas the confession tested 1 Yes (provide details) Nas the prosecution 1 Yes (provide details) Nas other prosecution 1 Yes (provide details) Nas other prosecution 1 Yes (provide details) Nas any other prosecution 1 Yes (provide details)		4. advice of lawyer;	
6. other Confession-related questions to be answered by interviewer at end of investigation Was confession used by 1 Yes (provide details) Outlied or judicial investigator? Was confession 1 Yes (provide details) Outlied by other vidence? What other evidence was 1 Yes (provide details) Outlied outlied to 1 Yes (provide details) Outlied outli		• •	
Confession-related questions to be answered by interviewer at end of investigation Was confession used by 1 Yes (provide details) 2 No police or judicial nevestigator? Was confession 1 Yes (provide details) 2 No portoborated by other evidence? What other evidence was 1 Yes (provide details) 2 No pollected? Was authority alerted to 1 Yes (provide details) 2 No pollected? Were steps taken to ensure 1 Yes (provide details) 2 No ponfession was obtained awfully? Confession-related questions to be answered by interviewer during trial Was the confession 1 Yes 2 No pollected as evidence by the judge? Was the judge alerted to 1 Yes (provide details) 2 No possible actions? Was the confession tested of 1 Yes (provide details) 2 No possible actions? Was the judge alerted to 1 Yes (provide details) 2 No possible actors? Was the confession tested of 1 Yes (provide details) 2 No possible actors? Was the prosecution 1 Yes (provide details) 2 No possible evidence submitted during the trial? Was any other prosecution 1 Yes (provide details) 2 No possible evidence a 'fruit' of the confession evidence a 'fruit' of the confession evidence? Confession-related questions to be answered by interviewer at end of trial Was confession evidence?		0 0 .	
Was confession used by 1 Yes (provide details) 2 No notice or judicial nevestigator? Was confession 1 Yes (provide details) 2 No notroborated by other evidence? Was authority alerted to 1 Yes (provide details) 2 No note of original to 1 Yes (provide det			wer at end of investigation
was confession 1 Yes (provide details) 2 No corroborated by other evidence? Was confession 1 Yes (provide details) 2 No collected? Was authority alerted to 1 Yes (provide details) 2 No confession used against detainee? Were steps taken to ensure 1 Yes (provide details) 2 No confession was obtained awfully? Confession-related questions to be answered by interviewer during trial Was the confession 1 Yes 2 No dimitted as evidence by the judge? Was the judge alerted to 1 Yes (provide details) 2 No confession tested 1 Yes (provide details) 2 No confession tested 1 Yes (provide details) 3 No confession tested 1 Yes (provide details) 4 No confession tested 1 Yes (provide details) 5 No confession tested 1 Yes (provide details) 6 No confession tested 1 Yes (provide details) 7 No confession tested 1 Yes (provide details) 8 No confession tested 1 Yes (provide details) 9 No c			<u> </u>
Nas confession 1 Yes (provide details) 2 No corroborated by other evidence? What other evidence was 1 Yes (provide details) 2 No collected? Was authority alerted to 1 Yes (provide details) 2 No collected? Was authority alerted to 1 Yes (provide details) 2 No corroborate details 2 No corroborate details 3 No corroborate details 3 No corroborate details 4 No confession was obtained awfully? Confession-related questions to be answered by interviewer during trial 5 No corroborate details 6 No corroborate details 9 No corroborate during trial 9 No corroborate details 9 No corroborate details 9 No corroborate during trial 9 No corroborate details 9 No corroborate during trial 9 No corroborate details 9 No corroborate during trial 9 No corroborate during the trial? Was any other prosecution 1 Yes (provide details) 2 No corroborate authorate during the trial? Was any other prosecution 1 Yes (provide details) 2 No corroborate a fruit of the corroborate and trial 9 No corroborate and of trial 9 No corroborate and tria	police or judicial	Tes (provide details)	2 100
Avairable of the provided by other evidence? Was authority alerted to any coercion used against detailed awfully? Confession vas obtained awfully? Confession-related questions to be answered by interviewer during trial Was the confession of the confession tested or admissibility? Was other prosecution or admissibility? Was other prosecution of the confession of the confession value of the confession tested of the confession tested or admissibility? Was other prosecution of the confession of the confession of the confession of the confession tested of the confession	investigator?		
Avairable of the provided by other evidence? Was authority alerted to any coercion used against detailed awfully? Confession vas obtained awfully? Confession-related questions to be answered by interviewer during trial Was the confession of the confession tested or admissibility? Was other prosecution or admissibility? Was other prosecution of the confession of the confession value of the confession tested of the confession tested or admissibility? Was other prosecution of the confession of the confession of the confession of the confession tested of the confession	Was confession	1 Yes (provide details)	2 No
What other evidence was 1 Yes (provide details) 2 No collected? Was authority alerted to 1 Yes (provide details) 2 No coron used against detainee? Were steps taken to ensure 1 Yes (provide details) 2 No confession was obtained awfully? Confession-related questions to be answered by interviewer during trial Was the confession 1 Yes (provide details) 2 No confession-related questions to be answered by interviewer during trial Was the judge alerted to 1 Yes (provide details) 2 No coron by police or other actors? Was the confession tested 1 Yes (provide details) 2 No coron by police or other actors? Was other prosecution 1 Yes (provide details) 2 No coron details 3 Yes (provide details) 2 No coron details 2 No coron details 3 No coron details 4 Yes (provide details) 2 No coron details 2 No coron details 3 No coron details 4 Yes (provide details) 2 No coron details 5 No coron details 6 No coron details 7 Yes (provide details) 7 No coron details 8 No coron details 8 No coron details 9 No coron details 9 No coron details 9 No coron details 9 No coron details			
As authority alerted to 1 Yes (provide details) Were steps taken to ensure 1 Yes (provide details) Wore steps taken to ensure 1 Yes (provide details) Confession was obtained awfully? Confession-related questions to be answered by interviewer during trial Was the confession 1 Yes 2 No admitted as evidence by the judge? Was the judge alerted to any coercion by police or other actors? Was the confession tested 1 Yes (provide details) Was other prosecution or admissibility? Was other prosecution 1 Yes 2 No average as a confession evidence a 'fruit' of the confession evidence? Confession-related questions to be answered by interviewer at end of trial Was confession-related questions to be answered by interviewer at end of trial Was confession-related questions to be answered by interviewer at end of trial Was confession-excluded? 1 Yes 2 No	evidence?		
Was authority alerted to any coercion used against detainee? Were steps taken to ensure confession was obtained awfully? Confession-related questions to be answered by interviewer during trial Was the confession admitted as evidence by the judge? Was the judge alerted to any coercion by police or other actors? Was the confession tested or admissibility? Was other prosecution for admissibility? Was other prosecution and the trial? Was any other prosecution are trial? Was confession evidence? Confession-related questions to be answered by interviewer at end of trial Was confession excluded? 1 Yes (provide details) 2 No		1 Yes (provide details)	2 No
Average staken to ensure 1 Yes (provide details) Confession was obtained awfully? Confession-related questions to be answered by interviewer during trial Was the confession 1 Yes 2 No admitted as evidence by the judge? Was the judge alerted to 1 Yes (provide details) Avas the judge alerted to 1 Yes (provide details) Avas the confession tested 1 Yes (provide details) Vas the confession tested 1 Yes (provide details) Vas other prosecution 1 Yes Vas other prosecution 1 Yes 2 No conditions any other prosecution 1 Yes (provide details) Vas any other prosecution 1 Yes (provide details) Confession-related questions to be answered by interviewer at end of trial Vas confession excluded? 1 Yes 2 No		4 Mar / 2 2 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2	2.11
Mere steps taken to ensure 1 Yes (provide details) Confession was obtained awfully? Confession-related questions to be answered by interviewer during trial Mas the confession 1 Yes 2 No admitted as evidence by the judge? Mas the judge alerted to 1 Yes (provide details) 2 No any coercion by police or other actors? Mas the confession tested 1 Yes (provide details) 2 No are of a dmissibility? Mas other prosecution 1 Yes 2 No avidence submitted during the trial? Mas any other prosecution 1 Yes (provide details) 2 No avidence a "fruit" of the confession evidence? Confession-related questions to be answered by interviewer at end of trial Mas confession excluded? 1 Yes 2 No	any coercion used against	1 Yes (provide details)	2 NO
Were steps taken to ensure confession was obtained awfully? Confession-related questions to be answered by interviewer during trial Was the confession obtained as evidence by the judge? Was the judge alerted to provide details of the confession tested of the relation of the trial? Was other prosecution obtained of the trial? Was any other prosecution obtained obtained obta	,		
Confession was obtained awfully? Confession-related questions to be answered by interviewer during trial Was the confession 1 Yes 2 No admitted as evidence by the judge? Was the judge alerted to 1 Yes (provide details) 2 No any coercion by police or other actors? Was the confession tested 1 Yes (provide details) 2 No admissibility? Was other prosecution 1 Yes 2 No avidence submitted during the trial? Was any other prosecution 1 Yes (provide details) 2 No avidence a 'fruit' of the confession evidence? Confession-related questions to be answered by interviewer at end of trial Was confession excluded? 1 Yes 2 No		1 Yes (provide details)	2 No
Confession-related questions to be answered by interviewer during trial Was the confession admitted as evidence by the judge? Was the judge alerted to any coercion by police or other actors? Was the confession tested for admissibility? Was other prosecution and the trial? Was any other prosecution and the trial? Confession evidence? Confession-related questions to be answered by interviewer at end of trial Was confession excluded? 1 Yes 2 No	confession was obtained		2110
Was the confession admitted as evidence by the judge? Was the judge alerted to any coercion by police or other actors? Was the confession tested for admissibility? Was other prosecution any coercion prosecution any coercion by police or other actors? Was other prosecution and the trial? Was any other prosecution any other prosecution any coercion evidence a 'fruit' of the confession evidence? Confession-related questions to be answered by interviewer at end of trial Was confession excluded? 1 Yes 2 No	lawfully?		
admitted as evidence by the judge? Was the judge alerted to any coercion by police or other actors? Was the confession tested or admissibility? Was other prosecution of the trial? Was any other prosecution of the trial? Was any other prosecution of the trial? Was any other prosecution of the trial? Confession evidence? Confession-related questions to be answered by interviewer at end of trial Was confession excluded? 1 Yes 1 Yes 2 No 3 No 4 No 4 No 5 No 5 No 6 No	Confession-related que	estions to be answered by interview	wer during trial
The judge? Was the judge alerted to any coercion by police or other actors? Was the confession tested for admissibility? Was other prosecution evidence submitted during the trial? Was any other prosecution evidence a 'fruit' of the confession evidence? Confession-related questions to be answered by interviewer at end of trial Was confession excluded? 1 Yes (provide details) 2 No 3 No 4 Yes (provide details) 2 No			2 No
Was the judge alerted to any coercion by police or other actors? Was the confession tested for admissibility? Was other prosecution evidence submitted during the trial? Was any other prosecution evidence a 'fruit' of the confession evidence? Confession-related questions to be answered by interviewer at end of trial Was confession excluded? 1 Yes (provide details) 2 No 2 No 2 No 2 No	1		
Any coercion by police or other actors? Was the confession tested or admissibility? Was other prosecution 1 Yes 2 No evidence submitted during the trial? Was any other prosecution 1 Yes (provide details) 2 No evidence a 'fruit' of the confession evidence? Confession-related questions to be answered by interviewer at end of trial Was confession excluded? 1 Yes 2 No			
Was the confession tested of admissibility? Was other prosecution of evidence submitted during the trial? Was any other prosecution evidence a 'fruit' of the confession evidence? Confession-related questions to be answered by interviewer at end of trial Was confession excluded? 1 Yes 2 No	was the judge alerted to	1 Yes (provide details)	2 No
Was the confession tested for admissibility? Was other prosecution evidence submitted during the trial? Was any other prosecution evidence a 'fruit' of the confession evidence? Confession-related questions to be answered by interviewer at end of trial Was confession excluded? 1 Yes 2 No	1 · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
Was other prosecution 1 Yes 2 No evidence submitted during the trial? Was any other prosecution 1 Yes (provide details) 2 No evidence a 'fruit' of the confession evidence? Confession-related questions to be answered by interviewer at end of trial Was confession excluded? 1 Yes 2 No		1 Yes (provide details)	2 No
Was other prosecution 1 Yes 2 No evidence submitted during the trial? Was any other prosecution 1 Yes (provide details) 2 No evidence a 'fruit' of the confession evidence? Confession-related questions to be answered by interviewer at end of trial Was confession excluded? 1 Yes 2 No	for admissibility?	L 103 (provide details)	2 NO
evidence submitted during the trial? Was any other prosecution evidence a 'fruit' of the confession evidence? Confession-related questions to be answered by interviewer at end of trial Was confession excluded? 1 Yes 2 No		1 Vos	2 No.
Was any other prosecution 1 Yes (provide details) 2 No evidence a 'fruit' of the confession evidence? Confession-related questions to be answered by interviewer at end of trial Was confession excluded? 1 Yes 2 No			Z INU
Was any other prosecution 1 Yes (provide details) 2 No evidence a 'fruit' of the confession evidence? Confession-related questions to be answered by interviewer at end of trial Was confession excluded? 1 Yes 2 No	the trial?		
Confession evidence? Confession-related questions to be answered by interviewer at end of trial Was confession excluded? 1 Yes 2 No		1 Yes (provide details)	2 No
Confession-related questions to be answered by interviewer at end of trial Was confession excluded? 1 Yes 2 No	evidence a 'fruit' of the		
Was confession excluded? 1 Yes 2 No	confession evidence?		
			wer at end of trial
f confession was excluded, 1 Yes (provide details) 2 No			2 No
	If confession was excluded,	1 Yes (provide details)	2 No

[AS AMENDED BY APT FOR EXCLUSIONARY RULE ASSESSMENT PROJECT]

12. Legal aid information (d	only complete if yes to 6.4 – can b	e undertaken on a	second visit if ne	cessary)
12.1 Do you want AF to	1. Appear in your case:			
undertake any of the				
following actions?	1. Yes	2. No		
	2. Litigate against the perpetrator(s) (If torture has occurred – see section 11)			
	1. Yes	2. No)	
	3. Raise awareness of the case at national/internal levels			
	1. Yes	2. No	0	
	4. Approach one/some of the fol	lowing bodies:		
	1. NHRC 2. OHCHR	3. NBA	4. AG	5.AI 6. AHRC)
	5. Other (specify)			
13. Consent (general)				
13.1 Do you consent for AF	1.Yes: both (with name)	Yes	: case FU/AF repo	rts only (with name)
to use this information				
when following up the case	Yes: both (without name)	Yes:	case FU/AF report	t only (without name)
and/or in AF public				
reports?	2. No			
13.2. Detail of the	Name:			
guardians/legal parents?				
	Telephone No:			
	Address:			
13.3. Who was present at the time of this interview?	Police/detention authorities:	1. Yes (provide o	details below)	2. No
	Name: Within hearing distance?	1. Yes		2. No
	2. Co-detainees:	1. Yes (provide	details below)	2.No
	Number:			
	Within hearing distance?	1. Yes		2. No
13.4. Interview duration	J			

B. Visit information continued:	
Authority in charge:	
Custody management in charge:	
Investigative authority:	